EXHIBIT C

UNITED STATES OF AMERI	x 15-CR-637 (KAM) CA,
Plaintiff,	United States Courth Brooklyn, New York
-against-	June 6, 2016 12:45 p.m.
MARTIN SHKRELI and EVA	<u>-</u>
Defendants.	
	x
TRANSCR	IPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR
	STATUS CONFERENCE HONORABLE KIYO A. MATSUMOTO D STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
APPEARANCES	
For the Government:	ROBERT L. CAPERS, ESQ. United States Attorney
	Eastern District of New York 271 Cadman Plaza East
	Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: WINSTON M. PAES, AUSA
	ALIXANDRA E. SMITH, AUSA JACQUELYN KASULIS, AUSA
For Defendant Shkreli:	BRAFMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
Tor berendant binkleri.	BY: BENJAMIN BRAFMAN, ESQ. MARC AGNIFILO, ESQ.
	ANDREA ZELLAN, ESQ.
For Defendant Greebel:	•
	BY: JOEL M. COHEN, ESQ. LISA H. RUBIN, ESQ.
	REED BRODSKY, ESQ.
Court Reporter:	Georgette K. Betts, RPR, CSR, OCR Phone: (718)804-2777
	Fax: (718)804-2795

2.

1.5

there are a number of outstanding subpoenas that have not yet been returned and none of us can anticipate how that will impact the magnitude of the discovery that's already involved in the case.

Mr. Paes is correct, there are millions of pages that have been produced in this case. To us, that's significant. In fact, the volume, in our view, is staggering. There are nearly 3 million pages. On Friday afternoon we received 800 new pages from the Katten Muchin firm. Your Honor may know that Mr. Greebel was a former partner at Katten Muchin during many of the times and events alleged in the indictment. We understand from the government that Katten Muchin will be making a further production on that subpoena. The contents and the volume of that production, we cannot know.

Your Honor, with respect to the Marcum laptop, we appreciate that the government has continued to engage in conversations with us and with Marcum about the contours of a protective order, and we're not yet there yet. We're continuing to have productive conversations, but we don't know what's on that laptop, Your Honor. It's our understanding that they contain final workpapers put into electronic binders. We were very relieved to understand this week for the first time, according to Marcum's counsel, that all the documents will be able to be transferred over to electronic

2.

discovery platforms or other computers, so we would be able to see them in a format that's intelligible to us, but notwithstanding that, Your Honor, we don't know the size of that production, we don't know what's on that laptop.

We also understand from Marcum's counsel that they have yet to make yet another production to the government of additional draft workpapers including emails. They have not made that production to the government yet, according to a call that we had last week.

And, Your Honor, beyond those two additional sources, we further understand from the government, which confirmed this to us last week in a phone call preceding this conference, that there are still more outstanding subpoenas. I don't believe even the government can anticipate what the volume will be and what the timing will be on the return of those documents. And because of that we don't believe we're in a position today to either set a trial date or motion practice.

Mr. Brafman referred to a potential severance motion -- I apologize for the feedback. That potential severance motion will not only be impacted by our digestion of the superceding indictment, but also by our review of the discovery, including that discovery that's still outstanding.

So, Your Honor, for those reasons we would ask that you return to the plan that we believe we all agreed to at the